

Written Representation from [REDACTED] – Sarah Bailey

Tuesday 19th November 2025

Summary

This Written Representation is in addition to my Relevant Representation submitted on 22 June 2025 and my verbal submission to the Open Floor Hearing on 12 November 2025.

In addition to my concerns about the validity of the project as a whole, the siting of it and ecological impact which I know will be covered in depth by a number of other respondents, I want to draw the attention of the Examining Authority (ExA) to a number of other issues:

- failure to comply with PA23 requirements for NSIPs
- inadequate traffic surveys which fail to account for seasonal tourism
- poor socio-economic assessment
- lack of overall timetable aligned with planned impact and mitigation.

I am largely confining my comments to the documentation issued by National Grid (NG), with a few additional remarks about what I heard at the first Issue Specific Hearing and the Open Floor Hearings held in Kent on 11th and 12th November. As the ExA is aware, around 200 updates have been published since the 23rd June deadline. I would like to put on record that it is almost impossible as a member of the public to be able to comment meaningfully when dealing with such a vast, poorly cross referenced and constantly updated number of documents. I have only been able to review a limited number of documents within the available timescale and would ask that the ExA take that into consideration.